

THI-SHOW TRANSCRIPT 2021-10-12

WHAT'S GOING ON Tonight's episode digs deeper into the world of leaked documents and their implications. The seedy world of alleged espionage when in reality they are all working together. The lengths and resources used because someone released a paper without their approval, when the simple solution is, if you don't want the public to find out you are not doing the right things, stop doing it. A vast network of agents and agencies all playing out a game of deflection, distraction and working against we the people, in what I would describe as the most anti human practices possible. Spying, torture, coercion, wars, death and many other illegal practices, all with maximum impunity, like a modern day vaccine manufacturer. But stuff leaks out and their reactions are at the point of hysterics and apoplexy, mixed with aghast and a bloody thirsty desire for revenge. What this piece also confirms is, how much of their tentacles permeate through society, in some cases it provides the evidence of the factions war I spoke about 6 years ago now. The annoying thing is, all these agency people are employed largely by the people via their taxes, and yet the sheer contempt they have for the people, is beyond disgusting. I hear you need an IQ of 135-140 to become an Intelligence Agent, clearly as you will see, those tests are flawed, because their actions as you will hear prove otherwise. I would put their actions and reactions in the 80-90 IQ range, which based on the original description of IQ, places them at retard level. Not all are that way, but clearly those who reach the top of these organizations, are not only retards, but utter psychopaths, who exhibit child playground level behaviors. FACELESS MAN

The Pandora Papers is a leak of almost 12 million documents that reveals hidden wealth, tax avoidance and, in some cases, money laundering by some of the world's rich and powerful. The Pandora Papers leak includes 6.4 million documents, almost three million images, more than a million emails and almost half-a-million spreadsheets. The files expose how some of the most powerful people in the world, including more than 330 politicians from 90 countries, have been using secret offshore companies to hide their wealth. Lakshmi Kumar from US think-tank Global Financial Integrity explained that these people are able to funnel and siphon money away and hide it, often through the use of anonymous companies.

What does offshore mean? Well the Pandora Papers reveal complex networks of companies that are set up across borders, often resulting in hidden ownership of money and assets. For example, someone may have a property in the UK, but own it via a chain of companies based in other countries, or offshore. These offshore countries or territories are where it's easy to set up companies, there are laws that make it difficult to identify owners of companies, and there is low or no corporation tax. The destinations are often called tax havens or secrecy jurisdictions. There is no definitive list of tax havens, but the most well known destinations include British Overseas Territories such as the Cayman Islands and

the British Virgin Islands, as well as countries such as Switzerland and Singapore. According to modern studies, the Top 10 tax havens include corporate-focused havens like the Netherlands, Singapore, Ireland, and the U.K., while Luxembourg, Hong Kong, the Cayman Islands, Bermuda, the British Virgin Islands, and Switzerland feature as both major traditional tax havens and major corporate tax havens. Corporate tax havens often serve as conduits to traditional tax havens. Jersey, Guernsey and the Isle of Man are some of the others, in total there is 55 listed tax havens.

Is it illegal to use a tax haven? Loopholes in the law allow people to legally avoid paying some taxes by moving their money, or setting up companies in tax havens, but it is often seen as unethical. Yes because they apply their Talmudic law, and get around every other law all the rest of us have to endure. The UK government says tax avoidance involves operating within the letter, but not the spirit, of the law. Since when have bankers, who are largely of the Jewish variety ever observed any law? They apply and write their own laws, and any transgression they apply the day of atonement, and their karma onto the people. But what a ridiculous statement from the UK government, they operate within the letter, but not the spirit of the law, funny they don't apply that to the people isn't? the full law comes down on us. What that statement reveals is, Governments are powerless to deal with these lawmakers and breakers, they are the law, and that has to stop to make this world a better place. There are also a number of legitimate reasons people may want to hold money and assets in different countries, such as protection from criminal attacks or guarding against unstable governments. Then why isn't it available to the public then? Although having secretive offshore assets is not illegal, using a complex network of secret companies to move around money and assets, is the perfect way to hide the proceeds of criminality. There have been repeated calls for politicians to make it harder to avoid tax or hide assets, particularly following previous leaks such as the Panama Papers. Except the very same politicians are involved in it, and receive large kickbacks to not challenge their illegal system. Mr. Ryle agrees and said the Pandora Papers show that the people that could end the secrecy offshore, are themselves benefiting from it. So there's no incentive for them to end it. Here is a solution, cut all politicians pay to zero, and their salaries go up based on how much taxes they recover from this illegal practice, it would soon change.

How easy is it to hide money offshore? All you need to do is set up a shell company in one of the countries or jurisdictions with high levels of secrecy. This is a company that exists in name only, with no staff or office. It costs money though and specialist firms are paid to set up and run shell companies on your behalf. These firms can provide an address and names of paid directors, therefore leaving no trail of who is ultimately behind the business. A bit like the private contractors working for the CIA then, or Swissindo and their fake Indonesian office.

How much money is hidden offshore? It is impossible to say for sure, but estimates have ranged from \$5.6 trillion to \$32 trillion, according to the ICIJ. The International Monetary Fund has said the use of tax havens costs governments worldwide, up to \$600bn in lost taxes each year. So why haven't the IMF done something about it then? or are they just as complicit in this thievery? Ms. Kumar said it is detrimental to the rest of society, the ability to hide money has a direct impact on your life, it affects your child's access to education, access to health, access to a home.

What is the UK doing about it? The UK has been criticized for allowing property to be owned by anonymous companies overseas. The government published draft legislation in 2018, that would require the ultimate owners of UK properties to be declared. But it is still waiting to be presented to MPs. That will not apply to these hide and seek banksters, that will apply to ordinary people who have homes abroad to declare it as an asset, and subsequently tax the people more. A 2019 parliamentary report said the UK system attracts people such as money launderers, who may wish to use property to conceal illicit funds. It said criminal investigations are often hindered because police cannot see who ultimately owns properties. I guess they don't have the right clearance to access the database, just like Congress and the Oversight Committee, I kid you not, neither have sufficient clearance to access what they need to oversee. The government recently raised the risk of money laundering through property from medium to high. It says it's cracking down on money laundering with tougher laws and enforcement, and that it will introduce a register of offshore companies owning UK property when parliamentary time allows. With all countries struggling with finances and potential taxes to be paid on between \$6-32T, one with common sense would think that would be a matter of priority, instead of coming up with Draconian ways to mistreat the people.

The secret wealth and dealings of world leaders, politicians and billionaires has been exposed in one of the biggest leaks of financial documents. Some 35 current and former leaders and more than 300 public officials are featured in the files from offshore companies, dubbed the Pandora Papers. They reveal the King of Jordan secretly amassed £70m of UK and US property, most of that likely to shut him up and make him a puppet for the fake state of Israel. They also show how ex-UK PM Tony Blair and his wife saved £312,000 in stamp duty when they bought a London office. They never paid for the office anyway, Blair bought a number of offices and homes out of the Government expenses account. The couple bought an offshore firm that owned the building. The leak also links Russian President Vladimir Putin to secret assets in Monaco, and shows the Czech Prime Minister Andrej Babis, facing an election later this week, failed to declare an offshore investment company used to purchase two villas for £12m in the south of France. The Billionaire Andrej Babis lost this weeks election, was that a faction move? time will tell. It is the latest in a string of leaks over the past seven years, following the FinCen Files, the Paradise Papers, the Panama Papers and LuxLeaks. The examination of the files is the largest

organized by the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, with more than 650 reporters taking part. A BBC Panorama joint investigation with the Guardian and the other media partners, have had access to nearly 12 million documents and files from 14 financial services companies in countries, including the British Virgin Islands, Panama, Belize, Cyprus, the United Arab Emirates, Singapore and Switzerland. Some figures are facing allegations of corruption, money laundering and global tax avoidance. But one of the biggest revelations is how prominent and wealthy people, have been legally setting up companies to secretly buy property in the UK. The documents reveal the owners of some of the 95,000 offshore firms behind the purchases. It highlights the UK government's failure to introduce a register of offshore property owners despite repeated promises to do so, amid concerns some property buyers could be hiding money-laundering activities. The Azerbaijani President Ilham Aliyev and his family, who have been accused of looting their own country, are one example. The investigation found the Aliyevs and their close associates, have secretly been involved in property deals in the UK worth more than £400m. Azerbaijani is ran by the CIA, yet another bought out to apply Israel law, war and illicit practices. The revelations could prove embarrassing for the UK government, as the Aliyevs appear to have made a £31m profit after selling one of their London properties to the Crown Estate, the Queen's property empire that is managed by The Treasury and raises cash for the nation. Crown Estate and The Crown are not one and the same. Many of the transactions in the documents involve no legal wrongdoing. Yes no legal wrongdoing, but lawful wrongdoing, and all achieved by a fleet of snake oil lawyers, who are dominated at the top end of this by the Jewish EL-ites. It is a massive cartel of epic proportions, and why the economies fail as they do, they wait until you run short and apply their excessive interest rates to loans, some are never able to pay back, and so they then steal the assets funded. But Fergus Shiel, from the ICIJ said, there's never been anything on this scale, and it shows the reality of what offshore companies can offer to help people hide dodgy cash or avoid tax. They are using those offshore accounts, those offshore trusts, to buy hundreds of millions of dollars of property in other countries, and to enrich their own families, at the expense of their citizens. The ICIJ believes the investigation is opening a box on a lot of things, hence the name Pandora Papers. The leaked financial documents show how the King of Jordan secretly amassed a property empire in the UK and US worth more than £70m (over \$100m). They identify a network of offshore companies in the British Virgin Islands and other tax havens, used by Abdullah II bin Al-Hussein to buy 15 homes since he assumed power in 1999. They include £50m on three adjacent ocean view properties in Malibu, California, and properties in London and Ascot in the UK. His property interests have been built up as King Abdullah has been accused of presiding over an authoritarian regime, with protests taking place in recent years amid austerity measures and tax rises. Lawyers for King Abdullah said all the properties were bought with personal wealth, which he also uses to fund projects for Jordan's citizens. They said it was common practice for high profile individuals, to purchase properties via offshore companies for privacy and security reasons. That's what gets me about these greed based people in power, they lie and

cheat 24/7, and then tell the public whose funds they manage, you have to go on austerity whilst we loot all the coffers and fund their expensive lifestyles.

Among the other revelations in the Pandora Papers: Kenya President Uhuru Kenyatta and six members of his family secretly owned a network of offshore companies. They have been linked to 11 firms, one of which was valued as holding assets of \$30m. Members of Pakistan Prime Minister Imran Khan's inner circle, including cabinet ministers and their families, secretly own companies and trusts holding millions of dollars. The law firm founded by President Nicos Anastasiades of Cyprus, appears to have provided fake owners to disguise the real owner of a series of offshore companies, a former Russian politician who had been accused of embezzlement. However, the law firm denies this. Meanwhile the people of Cyprus went under a default and severe measures and shortages against the people, whilst their Government hides vast private wealth that can never be obtained based purely on a President or Prime Ministers salary. Ukraine's President Volodymyr Zelensky transferred his stake in a secret offshore company just before he won the 2019 election. Ecuador President Guillermo Lasso, a former banker, replaced a Panamanian foundation that made monthly payments to his close family members, with a trust based in South Dakota in the US. There is no suggestion in the Pandora Papers that Tony and Cherie Blair were hiding their wealth. But documents show why stamp duty was not payable when the couple bought a £6.45m property. The former Labour prime minister and his barrister wife Cherie acquired the building in Marylebone, central London, in July 2017 by buying the offshore company that owned it. It is legal to acquire properties in the UK in this way and stamp duty does not have to be paid, but Mr. Blair has previously been critical of tax loopholes. The townhouse in Marylebone, central London, is now home to Mrs. Blair's legal consultancy, which advises governments around the world, as well as her foundation for women. Advises Governments around the world? seriously? because the Blair's ran the UK ever so well didn't they, err not!! Mr. Blair only got in as Prime Minister because he was a former worker for MI5. Mrs. Blair said the sellers had insisted they buy the house through the offshore company. She said they had brought the property back under UK rules, and will be liable to pay capital gains tax if they sell it in future. The ultimate owners of the property were a family with political connections in Bahrain, but both parties say they did not initially know who they were dealing with. Pull the other one Blair's, it has balls on, these people think we are all as stupid as a politician. The Pandora Papers is a leak of almost 12 million documents and files exposing the secret wealth and dealings of world leaders, politicians and billionaires. The data was obtained by the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists in Washington DC, and has led to one of the biggest ever global investigations. More than 600 journalists from 117 countries have looked at the hidden fortunes of some of the most powerful people on the planet. BBC Panorama and the Guardian have led the investigation in the UK. Another investigation by BBC News Arabic has found that Russian oligarch, Roman Abramovich, controls companies that have donated \$100m to an Israeli settler organization. Except Abramovich is not Russian at all,

he is Jewish, and he along with his buddy Putin looted all the countries oil assets for their own gains and greed, they are not Oligarchs, but Oilgarchs and traitors to the Russian people. The timing of this is eerie, I warned they would need multiple news distractions as their global vaccine campaign is failing real badly, and so out rolls the distraction piece. But, this also smacks of faction wars, missing from it is the American and UK elements who are heavily involved in this scheming practice, which tells me this is faction fighting. This is a collaboration to me of the 5 eyes group and other consortiums plus Mossad, clearing the decks again. Whilst this is ultimately a faction war, we the people will get much disclosure from it, but also we must be weary what are the intentions behind this coming out? To disgrace and then wait for public reaction against said governments, then they eliminate all Governments to enact their One World Government? take down offshore banks and loot it for their own gains? take down other laundering banks to enable them to push forward with their one world bank and currency? If you think that is far fetched, you are wrong, this is how they operate. Problem, reaction and solution, was the Czech Prime Minister a problem of which they had the solution? all it takes is public backlash, and out went the problem and in came the solution. But it is new boss same as the old everytime.

Looking back at leaking of the past of so called famous or top people, it leaves a trail of dot connecting and who is generally behind it all. 1971 Daniel Ellsberg stolen Pentagon Papers, a brave Jewish leaker shifted attention away from Vietnam war crimes. 1973 Watergate and leaker Deep Throat, a brave Jewish journalist Carl Bernstein, was a coup against Richard Nixon who ended Vietnam war and made peace with China. 2010 Julian Assange Wikileaks, Rothschild family lawyers, Rothschild sister-in-law posted Assange's bail, Netanyahu telling Israeli media Julian is an Israeli asset. It helped the Rothschild bank destroy a rival, shielded Israel, opposed 9-11 truth, the people who trusted Assange are now dead, and it distracted from real whistle-blowers. 2013 Edward Snowden stolen NSA Papers, a brave Jewish journalist Glenn Greenwald exposed that. It normalized spying & surveillance, people who trusted Greenwald got jailed, and it distracted from real whistle-blowers. 2016 Panama Papers leaked with Mossad tied staff of ICIJ, only certain people got seriously hit by leaks. 2021 Pandora Papers leaked by same Mossad tied ICIJ, only certain people got seriously hit by leaks Who are the ICIJ? The International Consortium of Investigative Journalists was launched by the Center for Public integrity, a group heavily funded by George Soros. We once again have internationally famous leaking involving the project of an Israeli historian of Mossad and his ICIJ. Unlike most leakers, the ICIJ has a remarkable ability to get instant top Western media support for its leaks. Which confirms they run them all. Those helping shape the ICIJ, is a top-selling Mossad historian named Yossi Melman, Israel, is one of his country's leading investigative reporters, as well as a security and intelligence commentator for daily Haaretz newspaper. He has written 10 books, Yes Every Spy a Prince, a New York Times bestseller, no surprise there. His book Spies Against Armageddon, a history of Israel's intelligence community, was published in the USA in 2012. SAME OLD SONG AND DANCE

The next piece covers the Julian Assange song and dance, given he was backed by the Rothschild's as mentioned earlier. In 2017, as Julian Assange began his fifth year holed up in Ecuador's embassy in London, the CIA plotted to kidnap Assange, spurring heated debate among Trump administration officials over the legality and practicality of such an operation. Some senior officials inside the CIA and the Trump administration even discussed killing Assange, going so far as to request sketches or options for how to assassinate him. Discussions over kidnapping or killing Assange occurred at the highest levels of the Trump administration, said a former senior counterintelligence official. There seems to be no boundaries, when the CIA are involved in anything. The conversations were part of an unprecedented CIA campaign directed against WikiLeaks and its founder. The agency's multipronged plans also included extensive spying on WikiLeaks associates, sowing discord among the group's members, and stealing their electronic devices. While Assange had been on the radar of U.S. intelligence agencies for years, these plans for an all-out war against him were sparked by their exposing of extraordinarily sensitive CIA hacking tools, known collectively as Vault 7, which the agency ultimately concluded represented the largest data loss in CIA history. So it is ok to spy on everyone if you are the CIA, but all hell breaks loose if it is returned on them, the simple solution is the golden rule, if you don't like being spied upon, don't do it to others, fairly simple really. President Trump's newly installed CIA director, Mike Pompeo, was seeking revenge on WikiLeaks and Assange, who had sought refuge in the Ecuadorian Embassy since 2012, to avoid extradition to Sweden on rape allegations he denied. Pompeo and other top agency leaders were completely detached from reality because they were so embarrassed about Vault 7, said a former Trump national security official. They were seeing blood, probably frightened the great fake boogeymen Russia and China might get to see what they are up to. The CIA's fury at WikiLeaks led Pompeo to publicly describe the group in 2017, as a non-state hostile intelligence service. How apt that comment is, as the CIA is a non States based group, they don't serve their country, only their own ends. That designation opened the door for agency operatives to take far more aggressive actions, treating the organization as it does adversary spy services. Except there is no adversary spy services that is what the general public fail to understand, they all work together and always have done. Within months, U.S. spies were monitoring the communications and movements of numerous WikiLeaks personnel, including audio and visual surveillance of Assange himself, according to former officials. A Yahoo News investigation, based on conversations with more than 30 former U.S. officials, eight of whom described details of the CIA's proposals to abduct Assange, reveals for the first time one of the most contentious intelligence debates of the Trump presidency, and exposes new details about the U.S. government's war on WikiLeaks. As the Q group was championing Trump as a man of the people, privately given his interactions on this item, that was not the case. It was a campaign spearheaded by Pompeo that bent important legal strictures, potentially jeopardized the Justice Department's work toward prosecuting Assange, and risked a damaging episode in the

United Kingdom, the United States' closest ally. Barry Pollack, Assange's U.S. lawyer said, as an American citizen, I find it absolutely outrageous that our government would be contemplating kidnapping or assassinating somebody without any judicial process, simply because he had published truthful information. There has been a litany of previous people who paid for their lives for telling the truth, it seems truth on this planet is consider a heinous crime, or perhaps it is all ran under the mesirah law? Assange is now housed in a London prison as the courts there decide on a U.S. request to extradite the WikiLeaks founder, on charges of attempting to help former U.S. Army analyst Chelsea Manning break into a classified computer network, and conspiring to obtain and publish classified documents in violation of the Espionage Act. The Espionage Act is on a par with the National Security Act, it is designed to cover up agency based crimes and actions. My hope and expectation is that the U.K. courts will consider this information, and it will further bolster its decision not to extradite to the U.S., Pollack added. There is no indication that the most extreme measures targeting Assange were ever approved, in part because of objections from White House lawyers, but the agency's WikiLeaks proposals so worried some administration officials that they quietly reached out to staffers and members of Congress, on the House and Senate intelligence committees to alert them to what Pompeo was suggesting. There were serious intel oversight concerns that were being raised through this escapade, said a Trump national security official. Some National Security Council officials worried that the CIA's proposals to kidnap Assange would not only be illegal, but also might jeopardize the prosecution of the WikiLeaks founder. Concerned the CIA's plans would derail a potential criminal case, the Justice Department expedited the drafting of charges against Assange, to ensure that they were in place if he were brought to the United States. In a recent piece we mentioned the DOJ was set up and ran by the Pinkerton group, who are now under the control of Securitas AB group in Sweden, funny it was two Swedish girls that accused Assange of sexual abuse isn't it. In late 2017, in the midst of the debate over kidnapping and other extreme measures, the agency's plans were upended when U.S. officials picked up what they viewed as alarming reports that, Russian intelligence operatives were preparing to sneak Assange out of the United Kingdom and spirit him away to Moscow. Ah the nasty Russians line again, that always is brought out in any international case, have you all noticed that yet? All so predictable and child like, it was the Russians, when all in THI know the "Russians" are ran by Jewish El-ites and Black Sun Generals. The intelligence reporting about a possible breakout was viewed as credible at the highest levels of the U.S. government. Well, we have learned to never trust what they say as credible anymore after the fake intel over the Iraq and Afghanistan war, not to mention their fake UK intel patsy Christopher Stevens was used as a stick to create the Russiagate episode with Trump. At the time, Ecuadorian officials had begun efforts to grant Assange diplomatic status, as part of a scheme to give him cover to leave the embassy, and fly to Moscow to serve in the country's Russian mission. In response, the CIA and the White House began preparing for a number of scenarios to foil Assange's Russian departure plans, according to three former officials. Those included potential gun battles with

Kremlin operatives on the streets of London, crashing a car into a Russian diplomatic vehicle transporting Assange and then grabbing him, and shooting out the tires of a Russian plane carrying Assange before it could take off for Moscow. All very Mossad like that, I have to say. U.S. officials asked their British counterparts to do the shooting if gunfire was required, and the British agreed. We had all sorts of reasons to believe he was contemplating getting the hell out of there, adding that one report said, Assange might try to escape the embassy hidden in a laundry cart. It was going to be like a prison break movie. You know how they knew what Assange was up to? they used a cat as the spy in the Embassy. The intrigue over a potential Assange escape set off a wild scramble among rival spy services in London. American, British and Russian agencies, among others, stationed undercover operatives around the Ecuadorian Embassy. In the Russians' case, it was to facilitate a breakout, for the U.S. and allied services, it was to block such an escape. The common sense question is, does anyone else think this panic and violent plans is beyond a tad excessive for someone who never killed anyone, was not a terrorist, did not injury or harm anyone, yet 3 countries agencies are playing keystone cops to contain him or kill him. All for telling the truth? it is beyond bizarre and child level behavior in the extreme. It was beyond comical, said the former senior official, it got to the point where every human being in a three-block radius was working for one of the intelligence services, whether they were street sweepers or police officers or security guards. How interesting they can create that so quickly, so now you know how they do their false flag events, remember the 3 London Bridge events, all essentially the same operation? well they have just revealed how they do that. White House officials briefed Trump and warned him that the matter could provoke an international incident or worse. We told him, this is going to get ugly. As the debate over WikiLeaks intensified, some in the White House worried that the campaign against the organization would end up weakening America, by lowering barriers that prevent the government from targeting mainstream journalists and news organizations, said former officials. Except the mainstream journalists and subsequent news organizations are all controlled puppets by the same said agencies, so that line makes zero sense. The fear at the National Security Council, could be summed up as, where does this stop. It is simple, when agencies stop behaving like naughty children and grow up into adults, stop thinking they are the world government, and learn to understand that, the truth should be the common goal for us all.

When WikiLeaks launched its website in December 2006, it was a nearly unprecedented model, anyone anywhere could submit materials anonymously for publication, and they did. On topics ranging from secret fraternity rites to details of the U.S. government's Guantánamo Bay detainee operations. Yet Assange, the lanky Australian activist who led the organization, didn't get much attention until 2010, when WikiLeaks released gun camera footage of a 2007 airstrike by U.S. Army helicopters in Baghdad that killed at least a dozen people, including two Reuters journalists, and wounded two young children. Reuter journalists are CIA agents, all of them. The Pentagon had refused to release the

dramatic video, but someone had provided it to WikiLeaks. Yes a typical move, lets not show the American people the crimes we are committing with their tax dollars and in their name. Later that year, WikiLeaks also published several caches of classified and sensitive U.S. government documents related to the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, as well as more than 250,000 U.S. diplomatic cables. Assange was hailed in some circles as a hero and in others as a villain. For U.S. intelligence and law enforcement agencies, the question was how to deal with the group, which operated differently than typical news outlets. The problem posed by WikiLeaks was, there wasn't anything like it. How to define WikiLeaks has long confounded, everyone from government officials to press advocates. Some view it as an independent journalistic institution, while others have asserted it is a handmaiden to foreign spy services. They're not a journalistic organization, they're nowhere near it, said William Evanina, who retired as the U.S.'s top counterintelligence official in early 2021. Evanina declined to discuss specific U.S. proposals regarding Assange or WikiLeaks. But the Obama administration, fearful of the consequences for press freedom, and chastened by the blowback from its own aggressive leak hunts, restricted investigations into Assange and WikiLeaks. We were stagnated for years, said Evanina. There was a reticence in the Obama administration at a high level to allow agencies to engage in certain kinds of intelligence collection against WikiLeaks, including signals and cyber operations, he said. Clear evidence of faction wars that is, and Assange is a faction player, not a for the people player. It is still interesting to us, as we get disclosure from it, but lets not make the mistake of thinking these whistleblowers are doing it for the people, because all evidence points to the factions game. That began to change in 2013, when Edward Snowden, a National Security Agency contractor, fled to Hong Kong with a massive trove of classified materials, some of which revealed that the U.S. government was illegally spying on Americans. WikiLeaks helped arrange Snowden's escape to Russia from Hong Kong. A WikiLeaks editor also accompanied Snowden to Russia, staying with him during his 39-day enforced stay at a Moscow airport, and living with him for three months after Russia granted Snowden asylum. In the wake of the Snowden revelations, the Obama administration allowed the intelligence community to prioritize collection on WikiLeaks, according to Evanina, now the CEO of the Evanina Group. So, who and what is the Evanina group? conveniently based in Virginia and so must be considered a subsidiary of the CIA, another seemingly private group that runs under the umbrella of CIA directives, whilst giving the appearance of being separate, just like Robert David Steele and his OSS.net group. Here is the script of the Evanina group, that is all so familiar. Russia poses an increased, and significant intelligence and cyber threat to the US, in both the public, and private sectors. Vladimir Putin, with his aggressive intelligence services along with loyal, highly resourced oligarchs, continue to push boundaries in numerous geopolitical and cyber arenas. Putin's goal to destabilize the U.S. and degrade our Democracy in evident every day, especially in illicit cyber activity and extensive social media malign influence campaigns. Iran and North Korea continue to pose a challenge to the U.S. particularly from a cyber perspective. However, the existential threat our nation faces from the Communist Party of China (CCP)

is the most complex, pernicious, strategic, and aggressive our nation has ever faced. I proffer that the U.S private sector has become the geopolitical battlespace for China as a baseline for this comprehensive and nefarious behavior. Xi Jinping has one goal, to be the Geopolitical, Military, and economic leader in the world. XI, along with the China's Ministry of State Security, People's Liberation Army, and the United Front Work Department, drive a comprehensive and whole of country approach to their efforts to invest, leverage, infiltrate, influence and steal from every corner of U.S. success. Economic security is national security. Our economic global supremacy, stability, and long-term vitality is not only at risk, but squarely in the cross hairs of Xi Jinping and the communist regime. It is estimated that 80% of American adults have had all of their personal data stolen by the CCP, and the other 20 percent most of their personal data. Just staggeringly child like rhetoric and atypical of agency based goons, spreading fear and kindergarten level strategies and policies, yet none are able to see just what a joke, they look and sound like. Our economic global supremacy is at threat, well boo hoo, who said it was a worthy cause in the first place? Blaming the CCP for stolen data, is laughable, when 100% of Americans have had their data stolen by American based agency goons for decades, not to mention Israel giving them all the trade, military and strategic data for years as well. Previously, if the FBI needed a search warrant to go into the group's databases in the United States, or wanted to use subpoena power or a national security letter to gain access to WikiLeaks-related financial records, that wasn't going to happen, but that changed after 2013. From that point onward, U.S. intelligence worked closely with friendly spy agencies, to build a picture of WikiLeaks' network of contacts and tie it back to hostile state intelligence services, Evanina said. All spy agencies are friendly, they lie, they are all in it together. The CIA assembled a group of analysts known unofficially as the WikiLeaks team in its Office of Transnational Issues, with a mission to examine the organization, according to a former agency official. Still chafing at the limits in place, top intelligence officials lobbied the White House, to redefine WikiLeaks and some high-profile journalists as information brokers, which would have opened up the use of more investigative tools against them, potentially paving the way for their prosecution, according to former officials. It was a step in the direction of showing a court, if we got that far, that we were dealing with agents of a foreign power. Among the journalists some U.S. officials wanted to designate as information brokers were Glenn Greenwald, then a columnist for the Guardian, and Laura Poitras, a documentary filmmaker, who had both been instrumental in publishing documents provided by Snowden. Poitras authored a documentary called Risk, on the life of Julian Assange. According to Variety, the film shows Assange is willing to put everything on the line, risking imprisonment and worse to publish information he believes the public has a right to know. Poitras and others described Assange's statements about women as troubling. Assange alleges in the film that he is the victim of a radical feminist conspiracy. over his being wanted for questioning on sexual assault allegations by the Swedish authorities. In the film, he argues that one of the women in question had potentially alternate motivation because she founded Gothenburg's largest

lesbian nightclub. According to Poitras, Assange disapproved of the film because it included scenes showing his troubling relationship with women. In May 2017, WikiLeaks' four lawyers publicly wrote an opinion piece for Newsweek, stating that the film serves to undermine WikiLeaks at a time when, the Trump administration announced that it intends to prosecute journalists, editors and associates of WikiLeaks. The lawyers also scrutinize the way in which Poitras changed the film after its premiere in 2016 as well as other critical aspects. So, Trump the so called man of the people was going after an alleged hero of the people for revealing the truth, if that doesn't confirm that Trump was apart of the faction game, I don't know what will. Is WikiLeaks a journalistic outlet? Are Laura Poitras and Glenn Greenwald truly journalists? the former official said. We tried to change the definition of them, and I preached this to the White House, and got rejected, by Obama, but not Trump, suggesting Trump and Obama are in separate factions. The Obama administration's policy was, if there's published works out there, doesn't matter the venue, then we have to treat them as First-Amendment-protected individuals. There were some exceptions to that rule, but they were very few and far between. WikiLeaks, the Obama administration decided, did not fit that exception. In a statement to Yahoo News, Poitras said reported attempts to classify herself, Greenwald and Assange as information brokers rather than journalists, are bone-chilling and a threat to journalists worldwide. That the CIA also conspired to seek the rendition and extrajudicial assassination of Julian Assange, is a state-sponsored crime against the press, she added. I am not the least bit surprised that the CIA, a longtime authoritarian and antidemocratic institution, plotted to find a way to criminalize journalism, and spy on and commit other acts of aggression against journalists, Greenwald told Yahoo News. Except the CIA doesn't just spy on journalists they do it to everyone with impunity. But what this confirms is, there are two types of journalists, one that is bought and paid for by one group, and another bought and paid for by another rival group, this is faction based proof right here and now. By 2015, WikiLeaks was the subject of an intense debate over whether the organization should be targeted by law enforcement or spy agencies. Some argued that the FBI should have sole responsibility for investigating WikiLeaks, with no role for the CIA or the NSA. The Justice Department, in particular, was very protective of its authorities, over whether to charge Assange and whether to treat WikiLeaks like a media outlet, said Robert Litt, the intelligence lawyer during the Obama administration. Then, in the summer of 2016, at the height of the presidential election season, came a seismic episode in the U.S. government's evolving approach to WikiLeaks, when the website began publishing Democratic Party emails. The U.S. intelligence community later concluded the Russian military intelligence agency known as the GRU had hacked the emails. The classic loop based kindergarten level response from the US Intelligence, blame Russia, it is beyond pathetic at this stage, change the bloody script you are like Groundhog day clowns. What the US Intelligence won't tell you is, they have worked hand in hand with the KGB for at least 80 years that I am aware of. Remember the CIA have technology to send data or files to and from any computer, in any country that is completely untraceable, to blame anyone they want. In response to the leak, the NSA began

surveilling the Twitter accounts of the suspected Russian intelligence operatives who were disseminating the leaked Democratic Party emails, according to a former CIA official. Well, we will take their evidence with all the salt in the Great Salt Lake in Utah, given their previous record of failed intelligence. This collection revealed direct messages between the operatives, who went by the moniker Guccifer 2.0, and WikiLeaks' Twitter account. Assange at the time steadfastly denied that the Russian government was the source for the emails, which were also published by mainstream news organizations. Even so, Assange's communication with the suspected operatives settled the matter for some U.S. officials. The events of 2016 really crystallized U.S. intelligence officials' belief that the WikiLeaks founder, was acting in collusion with people who were using him to hurt the interests of the United States, said Litt. Yes, the United States, not America, as United States is nothing to do with America. After the publication of the Democratic Party emails, there was zero debate on the issue of whether the CIA would increase its spying on WikiLeaks, said a former intelligence official. But there was still sensitivity on how we would collect on them, the former official added. The CIA now considered people affiliated with WikiLeaks valid targets for various types of spying, including close-in technical collection, such as bugs sometimes enabled by in-person espionage, and remote operations, meaning, among other things, the hacking of WikiLeaks members' devices from afar, according to former intelligence officials. The Obama administration's view of WikiLeaks underwent what Evanina described as a sea change shortly before Donald Trump, helped in part by WikiLeaks' release of Democratic campaign emails, won a surprise victory over Hillary Clinton in the 2016 election. As Trump's national security team took their positions at the Justice Department and the CIA, officials wondered whether, despite his campaign trail declaration of love for WikiLeaks, Trump's appointees would take a more hard-line view of the organization, they were not to be disappointed. There was a fundamental change on how WikiLeaks was viewed, when it came to prosecuting Assange, something the Obama administration had declined to do. The Trump White House had a different approach, said a former Justice Department official. Nobody in that crew was going to be too broken up about the First Amendment issues. **THE WAY IT IS**

On April 13, 2017, wearing a U.S. flag pin on the left lapel of his dark gray suit, Pompeo strode to the podium at the Center for Strategic and International Studies, a Washington think tank, to deliver to a standing-room-only crowd his first public remarks as Trump's CIA director. Rather than use the platform to give an overview of global challenges, or to lay out any bureaucratic changes he was planning to make at the agency, Pompeo devoted much of his speech to the threat posed by WikiLeaks. WikiLeaks walks like a hostile intelligence service and talks like a hostile intelligence service, and has encouraged its followers to find jobs at the CIA in order to obtain intelligence, he said. It's time to call out WikiLeaks for what it really is, a non-state hostile intelligence service often abetted by state actors like Russia, he continued. This is a classic agency tactic used against people or groups, whereby they reveal what they have done or doing, but accuse the boogeyman of

it. Recently several shows and characters have used this same tactic to try and denigrate me, it reveals their connections. It had been barely five weeks since WikiLeaks had stunned the CIA when it announced it had obtained a massive tranche of files, which it dubbed Vault 7 from the CIA's ultra secret hacking division. Despite the CIA's ramped up collection on WikiLeaks, the announcement came as a complete surprise to the agency, but as soon as the organization posted the first materials on its website, the CIA knew it was facing a catastrophe. Vault 7 hurt the agency to its core, said a former CIA official. Agency officials used to laugh about WikiLeaks, mocking the State Department and the Pentagon for allowing so much material to escape their control. The problem for the CIA and other agencies is, they never realized they were being spied on as well, until Kim and the team gleefully notified them. A global system of spying installed by M, to keep all the agencies in check, and use as leverage against individuals who stepped out of line. Pompeo, apparently fearful of the president's wrath, was initially reluctant to even brief the president on Vault 7, according to a former senior Trump official. Don't tell him, he doesn't need to know, Pompeo told one briefer, before being advised that the information was too critical and the president had to be informed. Irate senior FBI and NSA officials repeatedly demanded interagency meetings to determine the scope of the damage caused by Vault 7, according to another former national security official. The NSA believed that, although the leak revealed only CIA hacking operations, it could also give countries like Russia or China clues about NSA targets and methods, said this former official. Pompeo's aggressive tone at CSIS reflected his brash attitude. He would want to push the limits as much as he could during his tenure as CIA director, the former official said. Yes and those limits were all to benefit the Israeli junta. The Trump administration was sending more signals that it would no longer be bound, by the Obama administration's self-imposed restrictions regarding WikiLeaks. For some U.S. intelligence officials, this was a welcome change, there was immense hostility to WikiLeaks in the beginning from the intelligence community, said Litt. Yes because there is immense hostility to anything related to the truth by these agencies, if you don't want uncomfortable truths to come out, it's simple, don't do anything bad or illegal, it can't get any simpler. Vault 7 prompted a brand-new mindset with the administration for rethinking how to look at WikiLeaks as an adversarial actor, Evanina said. That was new, and it was refreshing for the intelligence community and the law enforcement community. Updates on Assange were frequently included in Trump's President's Daily Brief, a top-secret document prepared by U.S. intelligence agencies that summarizes the day's most critical national security issues, according to a former national security official. Like I have said all along, politicians and media read carefully prepared scripts by these agencies goons who specialize in cover ups and lies. The immediate question facing Pompeo and the CIA was how to hit back against WikiLeaks and Assange. Agency officials found the answer in a legal sleight of hand. Usually, for U.S. intelligence to secretly interfere with the activities of any foreign actor, the president must sign a document called a finding that authorizes such covert action, which must also be briefed to the House and Senate intelligence committees. In very sensitive cases, notification is

limited to Congress's so-called Gang of Eight, the four leaders of the House and Senate, plus the chairperson and ranking member of the two committees. But there is an important carve out. Many of the same actions, if taken against another spy service, are considered offensive counterintelligence activities, which the CIA is allowed to conduct without getting a presidential finding or having to brief Congress, according to several former intelligence officials. So, they make their own rules up, just like the National Security Act, and people all thought the Government and the President is the ultimate power? deary me, that is so far removed from reality it's not even funny. Often, the CIA makes these decisions internally, based on interpretations of so-called common law passed down in secret within the agency's legal corps. Interesting they will use common law in private, but not public? I don't think people realize how much the CIA can do under offensive counterintelligence, and how there is minimal oversight of it, said a former official. No shit Sherlock. The difficulty in proving that WikiLeaks was operating at the direct behest of the Kremlin, was a major factor behind the CIA's move to designate the group as a hostile intelligence service, a counterintelligence official said. Yes blame or mention Russia and it's sends the mind controlled Americans into complete panic and fear, and they run about like children, making bizarre rules and premises, all too create the fear based program of, The Russians are coming to get us. The average Russian is not and never was coming to get us, the Zionist Russians are not coming either, they are already here, with the complicity of American Government, Military, Pentagon and the agencies. You cannot state they are all that stupid in not seeing the 4th Reich all unfold, which leads to the conclusion all of them are in on it. For those new the 3rd and 4th Reich are nothing to do with Germans, it is and was the Hebrew Annunaki. There was a lot of legal debate on, are they operating as a Russian agent?. It wasn't clear they were, so the question was, can it be reframed on them being a hostile entity. Proof right there of their banal obsession to blame Russia for everything, even when there is no evidence, so lets fabricate it, just like their scripted MSM news channels. Once again it is all very childlike. Intelligence community lawyers decided that it could. When Pompeo declared WikiLeaks a non-state hostile intelligence service, he was neither speaking off the cuff nor repeating a phrase concocted by a CIA speechwriter. That phrase was chosen advisedly and reflected the view of the administration, a former Trump administration official said. But Pompeo's declaration surprised Litt, who had left his position as general counsel of the Office of the Director for National Intelligence less than three months previously. Based on the information that I had seen, I thought he was out over his skis on that, Litt said. For many senior intelligence officials, however, Pompeo's designation of WikiLeaks was a positive step. We all agreed that WikiLeaks was a hostile intelligence organization and should be dealt with accordingly, said a former senior CIA official. They sound particularly retarded in their thinking process, lets make up words and sentences so we can hate and lie about the Russians, it is all very playground bully tactics, and beyond pathetic at this point. Soon after the speech, Pompeo asked a small group of senior CIA officers to figure out the art of the possible when it came to WikiLeaks, said another former senior CIA official. He said, nothing's off limits, don't self-censor yourself,

I need operational ideas from you, I'll worry about the lawyers in Washington. CIA headquarters in Langley, Va., sent messages directing CIA stations and bases worldwide to prioritize collection on WikiLeaks. The CIA's designation of WikiLeaks as a non-state hostile intelligence service enabled the doubling down of efforts globally and domestically on collection against the group, Evanina said. Those efforts included tracking the movements and communications of Assange and other top WikiLeaks figures by tasking more on the tech side, recruiting more on the human side, said another counterintelligence official. This was no easy task, WikiLeaks associates were super-paranoid people, more evidence right there of accusing others about themselves. The CIA estimated that only a handful of individuals had access to the Vault 7 materials the agency wanted to retrieve. Those individuals employed security measures that made obtaining the information difficult, including keeping it on encrypted drives that they either carried on their persons or locked in safes, according to former officials. WikiLeaks claimed it had published only a fraction of the Vault 7 documents in its possession.

So, what if U.S. intelligence found a tranche of those unpublished materials online? At the White House, officials began planning for that scenario. Could the United States launch a cyberattack on a server being used by WikiLeaks to house these documents? Officials weren't sure if the Defense Department had the authority to do so at the time, absent the president's signature. Alternatively, they suggested, perhaps the CIA could carry out the same action under the agency's offensive counterintelligence powers. After all, officials reasoned, the CIA would be erasing its own documents. However, U.S. spies never located a copy of the unpublished Vault 7 materials online, so the discussion was ultimately moot, according to a former national security official. Nonetheless, the CIA had some successes. By mid-2017, U.S. spies had excellent intelligence on numerous WikiLeaks members and associates, not just on Assange, said former officials. This included what these individuals were saying and who they were saying it to, where they were traveling or going to be at a given date and time, and what platforms these individuals were communicating on. U.S. spy agencies developed good intelligence on WikiLeaks associates' patterns of life, particularly their travels within Europe. U.S. intelligence was particularly keen on information documenting travel by WikiLeaks associates to Russia or countries in Russia's orbit. At the CIA, the new designation meant Assange and WikiLeaks would go from a target of collection to a target of disruption, said a former senior CIA official. Proposals began percolating upward within the CIA and the National Security Council to undertake various disruptive activities, the core of offensive counterintelligence against WikiLeaks. These included paralyzing its digital infrastructure, disrupting its communications, provoking internal disputes within the organization by planting damaging information, and stealing WikiLeaks members' electronic devices, according to three former officials. Infiltrating the group, either with a real person or by inventing a cyber persona to gain the group's confidence, was quickly dismissed as unlikely to succeed because the senior WikiLeaks figures were so security-conscious. Sowing discord within the group seemed

an easier route to success, in part because those guys hated each other and fought all the time, a former intelligence official said. What they have just described is the work of the trolls online, particularly in alt media circles. Our members will be all too aware of those tactics within our group, as we have seen them all off, but rest assured we are watching several others who act as sleeper agents and think they go unnoticed, they don't, I see you. But many of the other ideas were not ready for prime time. Some dude affiliated with WikiLeaks was moving around the world, and they wanted to go steal his computer because they thought he might have Vault 7 files, said the former official. The official was unable to identify that individual, but some of these proposals may have been eventually approved. In December 2020, a German hacker closely affiliated with WikiLeaks who assisted with the Vault 7 publications claimed that there had been an attempt to break into his apartment, which he had secured with an elaborate locking system. The hacker, Andy Müller-Maguhn, also said he had been tailed by mysterious figures and that his encrypted telephone had been bugged. Asked whether the CIA had broken into WikiLeaks' associates' homes and stolen or wiped their hard drives, a former intelligence official declined to go into detail but said that some actions were taken. By the summer of 2017, the CIA's proposals were setting off alarm bells at the National Security Council. WikiLeaks was a complete obsession of Pompeo's, said a former Trump national security official. After Vault 7, Pompeo and Deputy CIA Director Gina Haspel, wanted vengeance on Assange. Both are Israeli puppets, so one can safely assume that the hidden parts of Vault 7, implicated the CIA being in league with the Israeli junta. At meetings between senior Trump administration officials after WikiLeaks started publishing the Vault 7 materials, Pompeo began discussing kidnapping Assange, according to four former officials. While the notion of kidnapping Assange preceded Pompeo's arrival at Langley, the new director championed the proposals. Pompeo and others at the agency proposed abducting Assange from the embassy, and surreptitiously bringing him back to the United States via a third country, a process known as rendition. The idea was to break into the embassy, drag Assange out and bring him to where we want, said a former intelligence official. A less extreme version of the proposal involved U.S. operatives snatching Assange from the embassy and turning him over to British authorities. Such actions were sure to create a diplomatic and political firestorm, as they would have involved violating the sanctity of the Ecuadorian Embassy, before kidnapping the citizen of a critical U.S. partner, Australia, in the capital of the United Kingdom, the United States' closest ally. Trying to seize Assange from an embassy in the British capital struck some as ridiculous, said the former intelligence official, this isn't Pakistan or Egypt, we're talking about London. British acquiescence was far from assured. Former officials differ on how much the U.K. government knew about the CIA's rendition plans for Assange, but at some point, American officials did raise the issue with their British counterparts. What a load of nonsense that is, remember the CIA was borne out of the British based OSS, the NSA is a subsidiary of the UK GCHQ, and yet they are trying to tell us this was all done in secret? turn it in. There was a discussion with the Brits about turning the other cheek, or looking the other way when a team of guys went inside

and did a rendition, said a former senior counterintelligence official. But the British said, no way, you're not doing that on our territory, that ain't happening, a classic deflection program. In addition to diplomatic concerns about rendition, some NSC officials believed that abducting Assange would be clearly illegal. You can't throw people in a car and kidnap them, said a former national security official. But they have done that for years, or shot the car up, or the latest is to bomb the car with a drone, why would they change habits of a lifetime? In fact, said this former official, for some NSC personnel, this was the key question, was it possible to render Assange under the CIA's offensive counterintelligence authorities? In this former official's thinking, those powers were meant to enable traditional spy-versus-spy activities, not the same kind of crap we pulled in the war on terror. Indeed, war on terra, spelt terra is real gist of that spell cast. Some discussions even went beyond kidnapping, U.S. officials had also considered killing Assange, according to three former officials. One of those officials said he was briefed on a spring 2017 meeting in which the president asked whether the CIA could assassinate Assange, and provide him options for how to do so. It was viewed as unhinged and ridiculous, recalled this former senior CIA official of the suggestion. It's unclear how serious the proposals to kill Assange really were. I was told they were just spitballing, said a former senior counterintelligence official, briefed on the discussions about kinetic options regarding the WikiLeaks founder, it was just Trump being Trump. Kinetic options are frequency based weapons, and if this true any doubts about Trump not being a man of the people, that exchange ends it. Assange and his people told the truth about rogue operations being done by the CIA and others, and US agencies and Government wanted to kill him and them to avoid the public hearing the truth, albeit truth that was faction based. Nonetheless, at roughly the same time, agency executives requested and received sketches of plans for killing Assange, and other Europe-based WikiLeaks members who had access to Vault 7 materials. There were discussions on whether killing Assange was possible and whether it was legal. Yahoo News could not confirm if these proposals made it to the White House. Some officials with knowledge of the rendition proposals said they had heard no discussions about assassinating Assange. In a statement to Yahoo News, Trump denied that he ever considered having Assange assassinated. It's totally false, it never happened, he said. Trump seemed to express some sympathy for Assange's plight, in fact, I think he's been treated very badly, he added. Whatever Trump's view of the matter at the time, his NSC lawyers were bulwarks against the CIA's potentially illegal proposals, according to former officials. While people think the Trump administration didn't believe in the rule of law, they had good lawyers who were paying attention to it. The rendition talk deeply alarmed some senior administration officials. John Eisenberg, the top NSC lawyer, and Michael Ellis his deputy, worried that Pompeo is advocating things that are not likely to be legal, including rendition-type activity. Eisenberg wrote to CIA General Counsel Courtney Simmons Elwood expressing his concerns about the agency's WikiLeaks-related proposals, according to another Trump national security official. It's unclear how much Elwood knew about the proposals. When Pompeo took over, he cut the lawyers out of a lot of things, said the attorney. Pompeo's

ready access to the Oval Office, where he would meet with Trump alone, exacerbated the lawyers' fears. If Trump was against it, why didn't he fire Pompeo for going against the alleged Government wishes, or was it the fact that Pompeo like Trump were both in the pockets of the Israeli junta? Eisenberg fretted that the CIA director was leaving those meetings with authorities or approvals, signed by the president that Eisenberg knew nothing about, according to former officials. NSC officials also worried about the timing of the potential Assange kidnapping. Discussions about rendering Assange occurred before the Justice Department filed any criminal charges against him, even under seal, meaning that the CIA could have kidnapped Assange from the embassy without any legal basis to try him in the United States. Eisenberg urged Justice Department officials to accelerate their drafting of charges against Assange, in case the CIA's rendition plans moved forward, according to former officials. The White House told Attorney General Jeff Sessions that if prosecutors had grounds to indict Assange they should hurry up and do so. Things got more complicated in May 2017, when the Swedes dropped their rape investigation into Assange, who had always denied the allegations. White House officials developed a backup plan, the British would hold Assange on a bail jumping charge, giving Justice Department prosecutors a 48-hour delay to rush through an indictment. Eisenberg was concerned about the legal implications of rendering Assange without criminal charges in place. Absent an indictment, where would the agency bring him? said another former official who attended NSC meetings on the topic, were we going to go back to black sites? Clearly that guy is not in the loop, suggesting black sites were closed, they're not, there was one in the town I just left. THE LIGHT

As U.S. officials debated the legality of kidnapping Assange, they came to believe that they were racing against the clock. Intelligence reports warned that Russia had its own plans to sneak the WikiLeaks leader out of the embassy and fly him to Moscow, according to Evanina, the top U.S. counterintelligence official from 2014 through early 2021. The United States had exquisite collection of his plans and intentions, said Evanina. We were very confident that we were able to mitigate any of those escape attempts, yes all courtesy of the spy cat in the Embassy. Officials became particularly concerned when suspected Russian operatives in diplomatic vehicles near the Ecuadorian Embassy were observed practicing a starburst maneuver, a common tactic for spy services. Whereby multiple operatives suddenly scatter to escape surveillance, according to former officials. This may have been a practice run for an ex-filtration, potentially coordinated with the Ecuadorians, to get Assange out of the embassy and whisk him out of the country, U.S. officials believed. The Ecuadorians would tip off the Russians that they were going to be releasing Assange on the street, and then the Russians would pick him up and spirit him back to Russia. Officials developed multiple tactical plans to thwart any Kremlin attempt to spring Assange, some of which envisioned clashes with Russian operatives in the British capital. There could be anything from a fistfight to a gunfight to cars running into each other. U.S. officials disagreed over how to interdict Assange if he attempted to escape. A proposal to

initiate a car crash to halt Assange's vehicle was not only a borderline or extralegal course of action, it's something we'd do in Afghanistan, but not in the U.K. But was also particularly sensitive since Assange was likely going to be transported in a Russian diplomatic vehicle, said a former national security official. If the Russians managed to get Assange onto a plane, U.S. or British operatives would prevent it from taking off by blocking it with a car on the runway, hovering a helicopter over it or shooting out its tires, according to a former senior Trump administration official. In the unlikely event that the Russians succeeded in getting airborne, officials planned to ask European countries to deny the plane over flight rights, the former official said. Or they would shoot it down like the Polish Government plane, or go missing like the Malaysian plane or many others that have appeared as accidents, when all evidence points to deliberate terrorist acts. Eventually, the United States and the U.K. developed a joint plan to prevent Assange from absconding, and giving Vladimir Putin the sort of propaganda coup he had enjoyed when Snowden fled to Russia in 2013, Evanina said. It's not just him getting to Moscow and taking secrets, he said, the second wind that Putin would get, he gets Snowden and now he gets Assange, it becomes a geopolitical win for him and his intelligence services. Have you ever heard such childish rhetoric in all your life, as that? Evanina declined to comment on the plans to prevent Assange from escaping to Russia, but he suggested that the Five Eyes intelligence alliance between the United States, the U.K., Canada, Australia and New Zealand was critical. We were very confident within the Five Eyes that we would be able to prevent him from going there, he said. But testimony in a Spanish criminal investigation strongly suggests that U.S. intelligence may also have had inside help keeping tabs on Assange's plans. By late 2015, Ecuador had hired a Spanish security company called UC Global to protect the country's London embassy, where Assange had already spent several years running WikiLeaks from his living quarters. Unbeknownst to Ecuador, however, by mid-2017 UC Global was also working for U.S. intelligence, according to two former employees who testified in a Spanish criminal investigation first reported by the newspaper El País. I will have more on UC global in a future show. The Spanish firm was providing U.S. intelligence agencies with detailed reports of Assange's activities and visitors, as well as video and audio surveillance of Assange from secretly installed devices in the embassy, the employees testified. A former U.S. national security official confirmed that U.S. intelligence had access to video and audio feeds of Assange within the embassy but declined to specify how it acquired them. By December 2017, the plan to get Assange to Russia appeared to be ready. UC Global had learned that Assange would receive a diplomatic passport from Ecuadorian authorities, with the aim of leaving the embassy to transit to a third state, a former employee said. On Dec. 15, Ecuador made Assange an official diplomat of that country and planned to assign him to its embassy in Moscow, according to documents obtained by the Associated Press. Assange said he was not aware of the plan struck by the Ecuadorian foreign minister to assign him to Moscow, and refused to accept that assignment, said Fidel Narvaez, who was the first secretary at the Ecuadorian Embassy in London in 2017 and 2018. Narvaez told Yahoo News, that he was directed by

his superiors to try and get Assange accredited as a diplomat to the London embassy. However, Ecuador did have a plan B, said Narvaez, and I understood it was to be Russia. Aitor Martínez, a Spanish lawyer for Assange who worked closely with Ecuador on getting Assange his diplomat status, also said the Ecuadorian foreign minister presented the Russia assignment to Assange as a *fait accompli*, and that Assange, when he heard about it, immediately rejected the idea. On Dec. 21, the Justice Department secretly charged Assange, increasing the chances of legal extradition to the United States. That same day, UC Global recorded a meeting held between Assange and the head of Ecuador's intelligence service to discuss Assange's escape plan, according to *El País*. Hours after the meeting the U.S. ambassador relayed his knowledge of the plan to his Ecuadorian counterparts, reported *El País*. Martínez says the plan organized by the head of Ecuadorian intelligence was to sneak Assange out of the London embassy and onward, as a diplomat, to a third country was canceled after they learned the Americans were aware of it. But U.S. intelligence officials believed Russia planned to exfiltrate Assange, reportedly on Christmas Eve. According to the former UC Global employee, the company's boss discussed with his American contacts the possibility of leaving the embassy door open, as if by accident, which would allow persons to enter from outside the embassy and kidnap the asylee. In testimony first reported in the *Guardian*, another idea also took shape, even the possibility of poisoning Mr. Assange was discussed, the employee said his boss told him. Even Assange appeared to fear assassination. Some Vault 7 material, which CIA officials believed to be even more damaging than the files WikiLeaks had published, had been distributed among Assange's colleagues with instructions to publish it if one of them were killed, according to U.S. officials. A primary question for U.S. officials was whether any CIA plan to kidnap or potentially kill Assange was legal. The discussions occurred under the aegis of the agency's new offensive counterintelligence authorities, according to former officials. Some officials thought this was a highly aggressive, and likely legally transgressive, interpretation of these powers. Without a presidential finding the directive used to justify covert operations, assassinating Assange or other WikiLeaks members would be illegal, according to several former intelligence officials. In some situations, even a finding is not sufficient to make an action legal, said a former national security official. The CIA's new found offensive counterintelligence powers regarding WikiLeaks would not have stretched to assassination. That kind of lethal action would be way outside of a legitimate intelligence or counterintelligence activity, a former senior intelligence community lawyer said. In the end, the assassination discussions went nowhere. The idea of killing Assange didn't get serious traction, said a former senior CIA official. It was, this is a crazy thing that wastes our time he said, indeed and lots of public money. Inside the White House, Pompeo's impassioned arguments on WikiLeaks were making little headway. The director's most aggressive proposals were probably taken seriously in Langley but not within the NSC, because Pompeo was their puppet. Even Sessions, Trump's very anti-Assange attorney general, was opposed to CIA's encroachment onto Justice Department territory, and believed that the WikiLeaks founder's case was best

handled through legal channels. Sessions' concerns mirrored the tensions between the ramped-up intelligence collection and disruption efforts aimed at WikiLeaks, and the Justice Department's goal of convicting Assange in open court. The more aggressive the CIA's proposals became, the more other U.S. officials worried about what the discovery process might reveal, if Assange were to face trial in the United States. I was part of every one of those conversations, Evanina said. As much as we had the greener light to go do things, everything we did or wanted to do had repercussions in other parts of the administration. As a result, he said, sometimes administration officials would ask the intelligence community to either not do something or do it differently, so that we don't have to sacrifice our collection that's going to be released publicly by the bureau to indict WikiLeaks. Eventually, those within the administration arguing for an approach based in the courts, rather than on espionage and covert action, won the policy debate. On April 11, 2019, after Ecuador's new government revoked his asylum and evicted him, British police carried the WikiLeaks founder out of the embassy, and arrested him for failing to surrender to the court over a warrant issued in 2012. The U.S. government unsealed its initial indictment of Assange the same day. That indictment focused exclusively on allegations that in 2010, Assange offered to help Manning, the Army intelligence analyst, crack a password to break into a classified U.S. government network, an act that would have gone beyond journalism. But in a move that drew howls from press advocates, prosecutors later tacked on Espionage Act charges against Assange for publishing classified information, something that U.S. media outlets do regularly. Assange's legal odyssey appears to have only just begun. In January, a British judge ruled Assange could not be extradited to the United States, finding that he would be a suicide risk in a U.S. prison. Although Assange supporters hoped the Biden administration might drop the case, the United States, undeterred, appealed the decision. In July, a U.K. court formally permitted the U.S. appeal to proceed. Pollack, Assange's lawyer, told Yahoo News that if Assange is extradited to face trial, the extreme nature of the type of government misconduct that you're reporting, would certainly be an issue and potentially grounds for dismissal. He likened the measures used to target Assange, to those deployed by the Nixon administration against Daniel Ellsberg for leaking the Pentagon Papers, noting the charges against Ellsberg were ultimately dismissed as well. Meanwhile, WikiLeaks may be increasingly obsolete. The growing ability of groups and individuals, whistleblowers or dissidents, spies or criminals to publish leaked materials online diminishes the group's *raison d'être*. We're kind of post-WikiLeaks right now, yet spy services are increasingly using a WikiLeaks-like model of posting stolen materials online. In 2018, the Trump administration granted the CIA aggressive new secret authorities, to undertake the same sort of hack-and-dump operations for which Russian intelligence has used WikiLeaks. Among other actions, the agency has used its new powers to covertly release information online about a Russian company that worked with Moscow's spy apparatus. Again all very childish these agencies, spending all day trying to get one over each other, whilst the top elements of them all work covertly together. For a former Trump national security official, the lessons of the CIA's campaign

against WikiLeaks are clear. There was an inappropriate level of attention to Assange given the embarrassment, not the threat he posed in context, said this official. We should never act out of a desire for revenge. Indeed, no one should act out of a desire for revenge, it is lowering yourself to their levels, and a lesson for all to learn. None moreso than Military or Agencies, message to the Faction people, the truth is marching on.

DIXIE LAND TRILOGY

All that effort and expense, manpower, high level discussions, espionage, monitoring and surveillance all for one man, and these clowns think that expense and effort is justified? If only they put that much effort into improving peoples lives, as they do to covering up the truth. The sheer fact they would resort to kill to hold back the truth, and the lengths they will go to, is absolutely mindboggling. It is shameful tactics by a group who have lost their way in life badly, and reside in the pit of the dark forces realms. Their childish behaviors is the main concern, because these are the very people who end up causing wars. They had an excuse with M around, now he is gone, although a program of him may reside in a host, there is no excuse for this level of operation anymore. This all wreaks of faction fighting and is something we have warned about since 2015, they are all throwing each other under the bus, and as we will find out soon they are killing each other in large numbers, particularly the CIA agents. We the public gain much out their infighting, as we get further proof of things we in our show have put out previous, which is a nice confirmation, but the wider less knowledged community will gain even more from it. Eventually they will find out what most of our members know, just how far and wide the Intelligence agencies over reach goes, and hopefully put two and two together and not come up with a Johns Hopkins answer. The annoying thing is, we the people are paying for the abject child like behaviors, not to mention the depth of other skullduggery these people engage in. Ridiculous amounts of black budget funding goes into these clowns, via drugs, racketeering, prostitution and trafficking, and yet no one holds them accountable, that's the most damning part. But, whether for their own protection or not now, many of these agency people are now coming forward and spilling the beans, which garners more knowledge and understanding of where many of our problems lie. Even if it is faction based knowledge, we the people still gain providing we all come together and end this tyranny, corruption and denigration of we the people. What this shows is, the Democrats at the top are batting for the Rothschild's cult, Trump, Pompeo and others are batting for the Black Sun cult, two sides of the same coin. None are for and by the people as we have seen, even the Trustee was surrounded by Black Sun CIA handlers, she worked for Trump and has a sidekick Steffan Rowe who is in bed with Black Sun Generals, Kim confirmed that herself to me during 2019. Many will ask how can we win against the factions? we already are, as they take each other out, we have to replace them with something better, that is what the TPC is for, a platform for we the people, not faction based clowns running off world programs. Think different. For all alt media hosts or listeners, known as the tin foil hat brigade or the conspiracy theorists, this

is our best time ever, as one by one the conspiracies are now being brought into full light and truth. Rejoice in the fact that we played a part in this global ongoing faction war, they thought they could manipulate us with their agents ensconced within the alt media and get away with it. But I along with Randy and Shane began exposing them 2014 onwards, I continued that path from time to time, and many disagreed with me outing their favorite alt media people, but over time they began to see I was correct in my stance, as sad as that is. Shortly after 2012 it all started to unravel as I predicted on January 1st 2013, in some terms we are still on that day and date, like a Groundhog day, but one day that date will move forward again. I will leave you with that to ponder on. TIME